Docket 1998CH020 Serial No. 10/D88,442 Group 1751

2004-MON 05:09

REMARKS

herein are fully supported by the Application as originally filed. No new matter has been The Office Action mailed March 30, 2004, has been carefully considered together herein are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action. The amendments made added. Accordingly, reconsideration of the present Application in view of the above with each of the references cried therein. The amendments and remarks presented amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claim Stafus

Amendment, claims 1, 11 and 13 have been amended to clarify and more distinctly point out the subject matter which Applicants regard as the Irwention. Claims 15-20, 25 and Claims 1-11, 13, 15-20, 25 and 26 are pending in this Application. By this 28 have been cancelled. New claim 27 has been added. The daims under consideration are, therefore, believed to include claims 1-11, 13, and 27.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 15-20, 25 and 26 have been cancelled, thus, the Claims 1-11, 13, 15-20, 25 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second rejection with respect to those claims is now moot.

Concerning claim 1, the Diffice has stated that the relationship between T and $P_{\rm A}$ The Office finds the term "otherwise" in daim 1 indefinite. The term "otherwise" is indefinite. Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the relationship between T and P $_{\kappa}$

has been deleted.

the endcapping of the polyamides" in the definition of E are found Indefinite. The subject In claim 1, the phrase "<85%" in the definition of A, and the phrase "sulfable for phrases have been deleted.

The Office finds no antecedent basis in claim 11 for the additional components rectted in claim 13. Claim 13 has been amended to recite the specific components defining the wet-acting lubricant.

PAGE 14/16 * RCVD AT 8/30/2004 4:57:39 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/6 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-54

Docket 1899CH020 Sarlal No. 10/088,442 Group 1751

The Office regulars Applicants to define all the components in compositions 11-13, 16-20, 25 and 26. Claims 11 and 13 have been amended to recite the components while claims 16-20, 25 and 26 have been cancelled.

In the current office action (top of page 3), the Office states that daim 11 depends from claim 3. In the previous response to an office action, claim 11 was emended to depend from claim 1. However, claim 1 is a process claim, therefore, claim 11 has been emended to define P_R.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants courteously believe that the § 112, second paragraph rejections have been overcome.

Cleim Rejections Under 35 USC § 103

Claims 11-13, 16-20, 25 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpartentable over Imperial Chemical Industries, Ltd., (GB 1,108,811). Claims 16-20, 25 and 26 have been cancelled rendering the rejection as most as to those claims. With respect to claims 11 and 13, this rejection is respectfully overcome.

Claim 11 has been amended to include the addition of a flow-control agent (F), basis for which can be found, infer alk, on page 13 and in example 1 of the specification. The flow control agent is added at the beginning of the cooling off period while the temperature is still very high and sufficient to promote a chemical reaction between the flow control agent and the condensation products.

The inclusion of this step results in a composition of P_A which is not disclosed, faught or suggested by GB 1,108,811. It is well settled that a prima facie case of obviousness requires the reference, or reference combination, to disclose each and every element of a claimed invention. As GB '811 does not disclose or teach the addition of a flow control agent, it respectfully contended that Applicants' invention as defined by claim 11, and all claims depending therefrom, are not made obvious by GB

Claims 17 and 18 stand reject under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Lith, et al. (US 5,837,802). Claims 17 and 18 have been cancelled, rendering the rejection moot.

PAGE 15/16 * RCVD AT 8/30/2004 4:57:39 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/6 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-54

Docket 1989CH020 Serial No. 10/068,442 Group 1751

1

٠.,٠

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully contend that the §103 rejection has been overcome. In consequence, Applicants solicit reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

\$88.00 for the additional independent claim. The Commissioner is also authorized

The Commissioner Is hereby authorized to charge deposit account 03-2060

to credit any overpayment or charge any fee deficiency to Deposit Account No. 03-

haviored in view of the forgoing amendments and remarks, the present Application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and reconsideration of it is requested. If the Examiner disagrees, she is requested to contact the attorney for Applicants at the

Respectfully submitted,

Anthomy A. Bisudca Registration No. 40,913

(CUSTOMER NUMBER 25,255)

Clarient Corporation Industrial Property Department 4000 Morroe Road Crantols, NC 28205 Phone 704 831-7151 Fax 704 331-7707

PAGE 16/16 * RCVD AT 8/30/2004 4:57:39 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/6 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:704 331 7707 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-54

telephone number provided below.

=

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ other:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.